



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**THE EFFECT OF TEXTUAL INPUT VERSUS ICONIC INPUT
IN COMPUTER ASSISTED INSTRUCTION
ON INTERVAL RECOGNITION**

BERNICE MONG CHUEY MEI

FEM 2004 8

**THE EFFECT OF TEXTUAL INPUT VERSUS ICONIC INPUT
IN COMPUTER ASSISTED INSTRUCTION
ON INTERVAL RECOGNITION**

By

BERNICE MONG CHUEY MEI

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

September 2004



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia
in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**THE EFFECT OF TEXTUAL INPUT VERSUS ICONIC INPUT IN
COMPUTER ASSISTED INSTRUCTION ON INTERVAL RECOGNITION**

By

BERNICE MONG CHUEY MEI

September 2004

Chairman: Chan Cheong Jan, D.Lit.

Faculty: Human Ecology

This study was initiated out of the growing concern of the prevailing poor sense of pitch intervals among music teacher trainees reflected the unsure perception and direction in their aural training. It was sought that in employing a computer assisted instruction approach in aural training with the use of an aural software will offer music teacher trainees an opportunity to work independently on their aural skills outside the confines of a formal aural lesson in the classroom. This study hopes to bring greater efficiency for music teacher educators to structure aural lessons tailored to music teacher trainees' pace and ability.

The objective of the study was to compare the effect of a textual input versus an iconic input in computer assisted instruction on interval recognition of music major

and non music major teacher trainees at a teacher's training college. The study also investigated the music teacher trainees' evaluation of the aural training software, *Auralia*. The textual input group (n=32) and iconic input group (n=32) consisted of music major (n=16) and non music major (n=16) teacher trainees in each input group. The textual input and the iconic input groups completed 20 drill and practice lessons in interval recognition. Both groups completed a progressive test at every session, a total of 20 progressive tests for the 20 lessons. At the end of the treatment in the study, each input group completed a final test from the aural software. Pretest and posttest of the same contents were administered to the groups before and after the study.

The results indicated there were no significant differences in interval recognition achievement in the final tests and posttests between the textual input (music major and non music major) and iconic input (music major and non music major) groups. The results also showed no significant differences in music teacher trainees' evaluation of the software between the textual input (music major and non music major) and iconic input (music major and non music major) group. This CAI study suggests that in employing two different inputs, a textual input or an iconic input using aural software had no significant differences on interval recognition.

Abstrak thesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**KESAN PERBANDINGAN *INPUT TEXTUAL* DENGAN *INPUT ICONIC*
DALAM PENGAJARAN BERBANTUKAN KOMPUTER
KE ATAS PENGECAMAN JEDA**

Oleh

BERNICE MONG CHUEY MEI

September 2004

Pengerusi: Chan Cheong Jan, D.Lit.

Fakulti: Ekologi Manusia

Kajian ini dijalankan atas timbulnya kesedaran terhadap kelemahan pada deria pic jeda di kalangan guru pelatih muzik yang menggambarkan persepsi dan arah tuju yang tidak pasti dalam latihan *aural* mereka. Ianya dilihat bahawa dengan menggunakan pendekatan pengajaran berbantuan komputer dan latihan menggunakan perisian *aural* menyediakan guru pelatih muzik peluang untuk meneruskan tugas dan kerja secara persendirian terhadap kemahiran *aural* dan tidak hanya tertumpu pada pelajaran *aural* secara formal dalam bilik darjah. Kajian ini diharapkan dapat memberi lebih efisien kepada pelatih guru muzik untuk menstruktur pelajaran mereka mengikut keupayaan dan kadar masa guru.

Tujuan utama kajian ini ialah untuk membandingkan keberkesanan *input textual* dengan *input* ikonik dalam pengajaran berbantuan komputer menggunakan perisian *aural* untuk pengecaman jeda bagi guru pelatih pengkhususan muzik dan guru pelatih muzik minor di maktab perguruan. Kajian juga menyelidik penilaian guru pelatih muzik berkenaan terhadap perisian latihan *aural*, *Auralia*. Setiap kumpulan mengandungi 16 orang guru pelatih pengkhususan muzik dan 16 orang guru pelatih muzik minor berjumlah 32 orang bagi setiap kumpulan kajian. Kumpulan *input textual* dan *input iconic* juga menyiapkan 20 kali latihan dan latih tubi dalam pelajaran pengecaman jeda. Kedua-dua kumpulan menghabiskan satu ujian progresif pada setiap pelajaran berjumlah 20 ujian progresif dalam 20 pelajaran. Di akhir rawatan kajian ini, setiap kumpulan menyelesaikan ujian akhir daripada perisian aural. Ujian pra dan pos yang mengandungi isi kandungan yang sama ditadbir kepada kedua-dua kumpulan sebelum dan sesudah kajian.

Keputusan menunjukkan tidak terdapat perbezaan signifikan dalam pencapaian pengecaman jeda antara kedua kumpulan *input textual* dan *input iconic* bagi guru pelatih pengkhususan muzik dan guru pelatih muzik minor pada kedua-dua ujian akhir dan ujian pos. Keputusan juga menunjukkan tidak terdapat perbezaan dalam penilaian guru pelatih berkenaan terhadap perisian kedua kumpulan *input textual* dan *input iconic* bagi guru pelatih pengkhususan muzik dan guru pelatih muzik minor. Kajian pengajaran berbantuan komputer ini menunjukkan bahawa dalam

menggunakan dua *input* yang berbeza, *input textual* atau *input iconic* dengan perisian latihan *aural* tidak mempunyai perbezaan yang signifikan ke atas pengecaman jeda.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My thanks and gratitude to my supervisory committee, Dr Chan Cheong Jan, Mrs. Indra Selvarajah and Dr Pan Kok Chang. They have provided me valuable guidance throughout the course of my study. I deeply appreciate their unstinted support to ensure my completion of my study.

My deep gratitude to my college colleagues. Their assistance and encouragement were a constant source of support towards the successful completion of my study. I am also grateful to many of my friends who have provided valuable ideas and advice from time to time.

A word of thanks to Scholarship Division for awarding me the scholarship and the Service Division of the Malaysian Ministry of Education for granting me study leave to pursue my master's programme in music education. Special thanks to the Director of a teacher training college in granting me permission to conduct the study. A record of thanks to the participating music teacher trainees for their wholehearted cooperativeness during the experimental study that facilitated the needful collection of data.

My thanks to my family's deep understanding and patience in helping me to complete this study. In retrospect, it would be an act of ingratitude not to acknowledge God's blessing, His guidance and His granting me the wisdom and perseverance for the materialization and completion of this study.

I certify that an Examination Committee met on 14th September 2003 to conduct the final examination of Bernice Mong Chuey Mei on her Master of Science thesis entitled "The Effect of Textual Input Versus Iconic Input in Computer Assisted Instruction on Interval Recognition" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

ZAHID EMBY, Ph.D.

Lecturer

Faculty of Human Ecology

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Chairman)

LAM MING HUEY, Ph.D.

Lecturer

Faculty of Human Ecology

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Member)

YEOH PEI SZE, Ph.D.

Lecturer

Faculty of Human Ecology

Universiti Putra Malaysia


(Member)

TANAKA KENJI, Ph.D.

Ibraki University

Japan

(Member)


ZAKARIAH ABD. RASHID, Ph.D.
Professor/Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 03 DEC 2004

This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee as follows:

Chan Cheong Jan, D.Lit.
Lecturer
Faculty of Human Ecology
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Indra Selvarajah
Lecturer
Faculty of Human Ecology
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Pan Kok Chang, Ed.D.
Lecturer
Cultural Center
Universiti Malaya
(Member)



AINI IDERIS, Ph.D
Professor/Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 09 DEC 2004

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.



BERNICE MONG CHUEY MEI

Date: 1 DEC 2004

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	ii
ABSTRAK	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vii
APPROVAL	ix
DECLARATION	xi
LIST OF TABLES	xv
LIST OF FIGURES	xix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS/GLOSSARY OF TERMS	xx
CHAPTER	
I INTRODUCTION	1
KDPM Music Studies	2
KDPM Music Major Studies	2
KDPM Music Minor Studies	5
Technology in Education	7
Computer Assisted Instruction	11
Music Software	12
<i>Auralia</i> Features Overview	16
Statement of the Problem	25
Objectives of the Study	27
Significance of the Study	28
Limitations of the Study	30
Sequence of the Study	31
Overview of Subsequent Chapters	33
II LITERATURE REVIEW	35
Introduction	35
Computer Assisted Instruction in Education	35
Computer Assisted Instruction in Music Education	39
Keyboard Skills	40
Music Software	42
Computer Assisted Instruction in Aural Studies	44



	Research Design of Computer Assisted Instruction in Aural Studies	50
	Sample of Computer Assisted Instruction in Aural Studies	50
	Selection of Aural Software	52
	Achievement in Computer Assisted Instruction in Aural Studies	54
	Attitude towards Computer Assisted Instruction	55
	Response Inputs in Computer Assisted Instruction	58
	Conceptual Model of Proposed Study	59
	Summary	62
III	METHODOLOGY	63
	Introduction	63
	Research Design	65
	Conceptual Framework of Study	66
	The Sample	68
	Computer Laboratory	69
	Treatment Material	70
	Software Customization	70
	Lesson Structure	72
	Research Instruments	74
	Development of Research Instruments	74
	Validation of Research: Pilot Study	79
	Research Treatment of Pilot Study	79
	Reliability Analysis on Instruments	83
	Treatment Procedure	84
	Questionnaire and Pretest	84
	Orientation of Software	85
	Computer Assisted Instruction	86
	Treatment and Progressive Tests	88
	Final Test	93
	Posttest	94
	Questionnaire	95
	Data Collection	96
	Data Analysis	97
	Summary	100

IV	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	102
	Introduction	102
	Results	103
	Profile of Subjects	107
	Achievement on Interval Recognition	110
	Software Evaluation	130
	Attitude towards Computer Assisted Instruction	136
	Discussion	141
	Profile of Subjects	141
	Achievement on Interval Recognition	143
	Software Evaluation	147
	Attitude towards Computer Assisted Instruction	150
	Implications	151
	Summary	154
V	SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	157
	Introduction	157
	Summary of Thesis	157
	Conclusion	166
	Recommendations	169
	REFERENCES	171
	APPENDICES	184
	BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR	209

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1 KDPM Music Major Curriculum Structure	4
2. KDPM Music Minor Curriculum Structure	7
3. <i>Auralia</i> Topic Content	17
4. Contents of Interval Recognition	22
5 Division of Sample	69
6 Drills and Progressive Tests	73
7 Drills and Progressive Tests of Pilot Study	82
8 Reliability Analysis on Instruments	83
9 Profile of Study Sample of Music Major	108
10 Profile of Study Sample of Non Music Major	109
11 I. Progressive Test Scores of Textual Input (Music Major and Non Music Major) and Iconic Input (Music Major and Non Music Major) Groups	111
12 II. Progressive Test Scores of Textual and Iconic Input Music Major Group	113
13 III. Progressive Test Scores of Textual and Iconic Input Non Music Major Group	114
14 IV. Progressive Test Scores of Textual Input Music Major and Non Music Major Group	117

		Page
15	V. Progressive Test Scores of Iconic Input Music Major and Non Music Major Group	119
16	I. Final Test Scores of Textual Input (Music Major and Non Music Major) and Iconic Input (Music Major and Non Music) Groups	120
17	II. Final Test Scores of Textual and Iconic Input Music Major Group	121
18	III. Final Test Scores of Textual and Iconic Input Non Music Major Group	122
19	IV. Final Test Scores of Textual Input Music Major and Non Music Major Group	122
20	V. Final Test Scores of Iconic Input Music Major and Non Music Major Group	123
21	VI. ANOVA of Final Test Scores	124
22	I. Pretest and Posttest Textual Input (Music Major and Non Music Major) and Iconic Input (Music Major and Non Music Major) Groups	125
23	II. Pretest and Posttest Textual and Iconic Input Music Major Group	126
24	III. Pretest and Posttest Textual and Iconic Input Non Music Major Group	127
25	IV. Pretest and Posttest Textual Input Music Major and Non Music Major Group	128
26	V. Pretest and Posttest Iconic Input Music Major and Non Music Major Group	129

	Page
27 VI. ANOVA of Posttest Scores	130
28 Textual Input (Music Major and Non Music Major) and Iconic Input (Music Major and Non Music Major) Responses to Software Questionnaire Items	131
29 I. Software Evaluation Textual Input (Music Major and Non Music Major) and Iconic Input (Music Major and Non Music Major) Groups	132
30 II. Software Evaluation Textual and Iconic Input Music Major Group	132
31 III. Software Evaluation Textual and Iconic Input Non Music Major Group	133
32 IV. Software Evaluation Textual Input Music Major and Non Music Major Group	134
33 V. Software Evaluation Iconic Input Music Major and Non Music Major Group	134
34 Textual Input (Music Major and Non Music Major) and Iconic Input (Music Major and Non Music Major) Responses to Attitude Questionnaire Items	137
35 I. Pre and Post Attitude of Textual Input (Music Major and Non Music Major) and Iconic Input (Music Major and Non Music Major) Groups	138
36 II. Pre and Post Attitude of Textual and Iconic Input Music Major Group	139
37 III. Pre and Post Attitude of Textual and Iconic Input Non Music Major Group	139

	Page
38 IV. Pre and Post Attitude of Textual Input Music Major and Non Music Major Group	140
39 V. Pre and Post Attitude of Iconic Input Music Major and Non Music Major Group	141

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Sequence of the Study	32
2	Framework of Pan's (2001) Study	61
3	Conceptual Framework of the Study	67
4	Drill and Practice Lesson	89
5	Conduct of Data Analysis	99

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABRSM	Associated Board of the Royal School of Music
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
CAI	Computer Assisted Instruction
CAL	Characteristics of Adult Learning
CBE	Computer Based Education
CBI	Computer Based Integration
CBME	Computer Based Music Education
CD-ROM	Compact Disc Read Only Memory
CIE	Computer in Education
CRI	Criterion Referenced Instruction
EPRD	Education Planning and Research Division
GB	Gigabyte
GED	General Education Development
GUIDO	Graded Units for Interactive Dictation Operations
HZ	Hertz
IBM-PC	International Business Machine-Personal Computer
KDC	<i>Kursus Dalam Cuti</i> (Holiday Course)
KDPM	<i>Kursus Diploma Perguruan Malaysia</i> (Malaysian Diploma in Teaching)
MEDICI	Melodic Dictation Computerized Instruction
MB	Megabyte
NEC	Nippon Electric Company
PLATO	Programmed Logic for Automated Teaching Operations
RAM	Random Access Memory
SPSS	Statistical Package for Social Studies
THE	Technology Horizons in Education
UNDP	United Nations Development Programme

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

The following terms are explained in the context of the study.

Aural development

Musical discrimination conducted through progressive ear training.

Aural skills

Aural skills are the knowledge applied in the teaching of music. A music teacher needs to be able to discern and correct the wrong notes and faulty intonation.

Caklempong

A traditional gong chime from Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia. Its onomatopoeic name is derived from two words, '*cak*' and '*lempong*'. '*Cak*' refers to the vibrating sound made from the drums and '*lempong*' means the sound produced by the gong chimes. A standard *caklempong* ensemble consists of five sets of *bonang* (knobbed kettle gongs). Each set consists of a number of small tuned pot shaped knobbed kettle gongs resting horizontally over parallel cords on a wooden rack. The knobbed kettle gongs are hit with a pair of wooden sticks wound with strings for cushioning.

Chords

A chord is a combination of a minimum of two notes. The use of chords is the basic foundation of harmony.

Iconic input

It refers to the on-screen keyboard, one of the input devices available in the aural software, *Auralia* for teacher trainees to select the correct answer in interval recognition.

Interval

An interval is the distance between two notes. The quality and number describe the size of the intervals. Quality descriptions of the size of the intervals are described as major, minor and perfect. Numbers referring to the number of steps or note names that separate the two pitches describes the size of the interval. The numerical intervals, seconds (2), thirds (3), sixths (6) and sevenths (7) are described as major or minor depending when the upper note of the pair would occur in the major or minor scale of the lower note (tonic). The numerical intervals, octave (8), fourth (4) and fifth (5) are described as perfect in quality. For example a Major 3rd interval term means major is the quality of the interval and 3rd is the number in the interval. An

ascending melodic interval is in a rising direction, hearing the first pitch as the lower pitch followed by the second pitch or the higher pitch in an upward direction

Kompang

A traditional instrument using hand drums played by a group of players. Kompang playing are associated with festive occasions such as at the opening ceremony for an important function or at Malay weddings.

Melody

An organized and recognizable shape of a succession of notes varying in pitch.

Music software program

Software is data organized into a meaningful arrangement. Music software comprise of a range of music program types for a musical purpose. An aural software program is a type of musical application for an educational program used for tutorial applications in aural training.

Octave

An octave comprises of eight notes.

Pitch

Pitch is the frequency of vibrations that creates the quality of sound.

Rhythm

Revolves around the time aspect in music such as the beats, accents, measures, grouping of notes into beats, grouping of beats into measures and the grouping of measures into phrases.

Technology

Technology is an approach or a technique employed in a systematic and scientific knowledge in solving problems. Examples of technological devices include computers and all of music and non music peripherals that are needed to perform music tasks with computers such as electronic music keyboards, MIDI controllers, printers, scanners and CD players.